MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION				
Type of Requestor: (x) HCP () IE () IC	Response Timely Filed? (x) Yes () No			
Requestor's Name and Address El Paso Specialty Hospital 1755 Curie Dr., Ste. A	MDR Tracking No.: M4-03-8760-01			
	TWCC No.:			
El Paso, TX 79902	Injured Employee's Name:			
Respondent's Name and Address American Casualty Co.	Date of Injury:			
c/o Burns, Anderson, Jury & Brenner Box 47	Employer's Name: Fluor Corporation			
DOAT!	Insurance Carrier's No.: 91172900884840			

PART II: SUMMARY OF DISPUTE AND FINDINGS

Dates of Service		CPT Code(s) or Description	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due	
From	То	CIT Code(s) of Description	Amount in Dispute	Amount Duc	
11/11/02	11/12/02	Inpatient Hospitalization	\$29,816.26	\$0.00	

PART III: REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

The Requestor did not submit a position summary; however, the Requestor's rational listed on the Table of Disputed Services states, "In patient leaim falls under the stop loss methodology which should pay @ 75% of audited charges. Implants are inclusive."

PART IV: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Position Summary states in part, "...the \$40,000 threshold has been exceeded, but the Requestor has not proven any entitlement to the stop-loss rule. The Hospital must show that the services show the services provided were unusually extensive, unusually costly, and/or arose from an unusually lengthy stay. The records provided do not indicate treatment that was particularly lengthy or unusually extensive or costly. In the absence of such evidence, the favored and the default method of reimbursement is the per diem method..."

PART V: MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY, AND/OR EXPLANATION

This dispute relates to inpatient services provided in hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of Rule 134.401 (Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline). The hospital has requested reimbursement according to the stop-loss method contained in that rule. Rule 134.401(c)(6) establishes that the stop-loss method is to be used for "unusually costly services." The explanation that follows this paragraph indicates that in order to determine if "unusually costly services" were provided, the admission must not only exceed \$40,000 in total audited charges, but also involve "unusually extensive services."

After reviewing the documentation provided by both parties, it does **not** appear that this particular admission involved "unusually extensive services." Accordingly, the stop-loss method does not apply and the reimbursement is to be based on the per diem plus carve-out methodology described in the same rule.

The total length of stay for this admission was 1 day (consisting of 1 day for surgical). Accordingly, the standard per diem amount due for this admission is equal to \$1,118.00 (1 times \$1,118). In addition, the hospital is entitled to additional reimbursement for (implantables/MRIs/CAT Scans/pharmaceuticals). However, the requestor did not submit implant invoices; therefore, MDR cannot determine the cost plus 10%.

The Requestor billed \$41,245.68 and received reimbursement in the amount of \$1,118.00 from the insurance carrier. Considering the reimbursement amount calculated in accordance with the provisions of rule 134.401(c) compared with the amount previously paid by the insurance carrier, we find that no additional reimbursement is due for these services.

PART VI: COMMISSION DECISION					
Based upon the review of the disputed health not entitled to additional reimbursement.	ncare services, the Medical Review Division	on has determined that the requestor is			
Findings and Decision by:					
	Marguerite Foster	04/14/05			
Authorized Signature	Typed Name	Date of Decision			
PART VII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING					
Either party to this medical dispute may disagree with all or part of the Decision and has a right to request a hearing. A request for a hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk within 20 (twenty) days of your receipt of this decision (28 Texas Administrative Code § 148.3). This Decision was mailed to the health care provider and placed in the Austin Representatives box on This Decision is deemed received by you five days after it was mailed and the first working day after the date the Decision was placed in the Austin Representative's box (28 Texas Administrative Code § 102.5(d)). A request for a hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744 or faxed to (512) 804-4011. A copy of this Decision should be attached to the request. The party appealing the Division's Decision shall deliver a copy of their written request for a hearing to the opposing party involved in the dispute. Si prefiere hablar con una persona in español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.					
PART VIII: INSURANCE CARRIER DELIVERY CERTIFICATION					
I hereby verify that I received a copy of this Decision in the Austin Representative's box.					
Signature of Insurance Carrier:		Date:			